

Rescue IVF cycles after high response Gonadotropin/Letrozole IUI cycles compared to antagonist protocol in unexplained infertility

MONCEAU E.¹⁻², VÉLEZ M.P.¹⁻², PHILLIPS S.¹⁻², KADOCH IJ.¹⁻², MÉNARD S.¹⁻², JAMAL W.¹ ¹ CLINIQUE OVO (OVO FERTILITY), MONTREAL, QC, CANADA. ² DEPARTMENT OF OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF MONTREAL, QC, CANADA.

INTRODUCTION

IVF vs. IUI as a first line treatment of patients with combination with gonadotropins for ovulation induction in IUI cycles has been shown to be more cost-effective rates. We performed this retrospective cohort analysis to compare rescue IVF converted cycles due to excessive response to Gonadotropins/-Letrozole Fig. 1- Patient's characteristics stimulation to IVF antagonist protocol in unexplained infertility patients.

METHODS

One hundred and ninety-two patients with unexplained infertility, attending clinique ovo (teaching tertiary fertility center affiliated with the University of Montréal) from August 2010 to January 2012 were included in the study. Seventy-three patients (group A) were converted from high response Gonadotropins/Letrozole IUI cycles to "rescue" IVF and 119 patients (group B) had antagonist IVF protocol. Primary outcomes included comparison of clinical and biochemical pregnancies rates among the two groups, secondary outcomes included comparison of: estradiol (E2) and progesterone levels, endometrial thickness, follicular size, retrieved oocytes number and implantation rates.



	Group A N=73	Group B N=119	Valeur p		Group A N=73	Group B N=119	Va
ars)	31.86 ± 3.81	34.43 ± 3.74	<0.01	Follicles 10-14mm	6.205 ± 3.9	7.37 ± 5.6	
	25.54 ± 4.37	25.6 ± 3.81	0.95	on the HCG day			
uration of infertility nonths)	41.72 ± 23.98	58.35 ± 34.56	<0.01	Follicles >14mm	8.03 ± 2.9	9.26 ± 5.4	
MH (ng/ml)	3.121 ± 2.198	2.48 ± 2.064	0.105	on the free day			
⁻ SH (mUI/I)	6.26 ± 1.89	6.52 ± 1.53	0.36	E2 on HCG day	4819 ± 4047	9982 ± 5146	
a 3 - IVE cycles ou	itcomes			PG on HCG day	2.72 ± 1.5	2.95 ± 1.4	
	Group A N=73	Group B N=119	Valeur p	Endometrial thickness on HCG day	9.92 ± 2.2	11 ± 2.3	
Implantation rate	0.42 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.5	0.34	Number of oocytes retrieved	10.1 ± 5.32	13.55±8.34	
Biochemical pregnancy rate	0.43 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.5	0.39	Number of embryos	6.1±3.94	7.47±5.65	
Clinical pregnancy rate	0.37 ± 0.48	0.44 ±0.49	0.34	Number of frozen			
	0.09 ± 0.20	0.167 ± 0.28	0.5	embryos	1.96±2.04	2.37 ± 2.95	

	Group A N=73	Group B N=119	Valeur p
Implantation rate	0.42 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.5	0.34
Biochemical pregnancy rate	0.43 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.5	0.39
Clinical pregnancy rate	0.37 ± 0.48	0.44 ±0.49	0.34
Miscarriage rate	0.08 ± 0.29	0.167 ± 0.38	0.5

RESULTS

Conversion of IUI cycles into IVF in ovarian Both groups were comparable in terms of BMI, AMH more oocytes were retrieved and more embryos were Conversion respondents high hyper-respondents patients is a viable option to avoid and FSH basal levels. Women in group B (p<0.05). The progesterone on the Gonadotropin/Letrozole-IUI patients to rescue IVF has cycle cancellation, multiple pregnancy risk and patient's significantly older (31.8 vs. 34.4 years, p<0.01) and had HCG day, the number of frozen embryos and the shown to be a good alternative to cycle cancellation waste of time and money. Contradictory results about a longer duration rates were comparable in both groups with comparable clinical pregnancy rates to IVF 58.3±34months, p<0.01) (fig1). The number of follicles (fig2). Noteworthy, no difference were seen in terms of antagonist protocol group in couple with unexplained unexplained infertility exist. Letrozole used in reaching 14mm on the day of HCG (8.02±2.9 vs. biochemical and clinical pregnancy rates between both infertility. Larger trials with adequate power are 9.26±5.4, p=0.04) and the E2 level (4819±4047 vs. groups(43% vs. 50%, p=0.39) and (37% vs.44%, warranted.

Fig. 2 - Cycle's characteristics



Canadian Fertility and Andrology Society

59TH ANNUAL MEETING 26th – 29th September, 2013 Victoria, Canada

CONCLUSIONS

REFERENCES

- 1 Barroso G, Menocal G, Felix H, Rojas-Ruiz JC, Arslan M, Oehninger S. Comparison of the efficacy of the aromatase inhibitor letrozole and clomiphene citrate as adjuvants to recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation: a prospective, randomized, blinded clinical trial. Fertil Steril. 2006 Nov;86 (5):1428-31.
- Noriega-Portella L, Noriega-Hoces L, Delgado A, Rubio J, Gonzales-Castañeda C, Gonzales GF. Effect of letrozole at 2.5 mg or 5.0 mg/day on ovarian stimulation with gonadotropins in women undergoing intrauterine insemination. Fertil Steril. 2008 Nov;90(5):1818-25.
- Mitwally MF, Casper RF. Aromatase inhibition reduces gonadotrophin dose required for controlled ovarian stimulation in women with unexplained infertility. Hum Reprod. 2003 Aug;18(8):1588-97.
- Polinder S, Heijnen EM, Macklon NS, Habbema JD, Fauser BJ, Eijkemans MJ. Cost-effectiveness of a mild compared with a standard strategy for IVF: a randomized comparison using cumulative term live birth as the primary endpoint. Hum Reprod 2008;23:316-23.
- Garcia-Velasco JA, Moreno L, Pacheco A, Guillen A, Duque L, Requena A, Pellicer A. The aromatase inhibitor letrozole increases the concentration of intraovarian androgens and improves in vitro fertilization outcome in low responder patients: a pilot study. Fertil Steril. 2005;84:82-87.
- Reindollar RH, Regan MM, Neumann PJ, Levine BS, Thornton KL, Alper MM, Goldman MB A randomized clinical trial to evaluate optimal treatment for unexplained infertility: the fast track and standard treatment (FASTT) trial. Fertil Steril. 2010 Aug;94(3):888-99.
- Custers IM, König TE, Broekmans FJ, Hompes PG, Kaaijk E, Oosterhuis J, Mochtar MH, Repping S, van Wely M, Steures P, van der Veen F, Mol BW. Couples with unexplained subfertility and unfavorable prognosis: a randomized pilot trial comparing the effectiveness of in vitro fertilization with elective single embryo transfer versus intrauterine insemination with controlled ovarian stimulation. Fertil Steril. 2011 Nov;96(5):1107-11.
- Pashayan N, Lyratzopoulos G, Mathur R. Cost-effectiveness of primary offer of IVF vs. primary offer of IUI followed by IVF (for IUI failures) in couples with unexplained or mild male factor subfertility. BMC Health Serv Res 2006;6:80.



