

# IN FREEZE ALL EMBRYOS (FAE) CYCLES DUE TO ENDOMETRIAL FLUID (EF), LIVE BIRTH RATES IN SUBSEQUENT FROZEN EMBRYO TRANSFER (FET) ARE COMPARABLE TO THOSE OF CONTROLS, DESPITE HIGH RATES OF EF RECURRENCE AND CYCLE CANCELLATION

L PREAUBERT<sup>1-3</sup>, T SHAULOV<sup>1-3</sup>, M STUTZ<sup>2</sup>, R ANTAKI<sup>1-3,</sup> C GRYSOLE<sup>1-3</sup>, S PHILLIPS<sup>1-3</sup>, L LAPENSEE<sup>1-3</sup> <sup>1</sup> CLINIQUE OVO (OVO FERTILITY), MONTREAL, QC, CANADA. <sup>2</sup> JSS MEDICAL RESEARCH. <sup>3</sup> DEPARTMENT OF OBSTETRICS AND GYNAECOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF MONTREAL, CANADA

CLINIQUE

## INTRODUCTION

We aimed to compare the clinical outcomes of subsequent FETs between patients having had freeze-all embryos (FAE) for endometrial fluid (EF) and controls having had a FAE for other indications, including the recurrence rate of EF and live birth rates (LBR) during subsequent frozen cycles.

#### DESIGN

A retrospective cohort study including all patients with freeze-all cycles for endometrial fluid at a university-affiliated private IVF center between 2010 and 2016.

### METHODS

Controls were randomly generated from freeze-all cycles for other indications during the same period. Gestational carriers, patients undergoing PGS/PGD cycles, or egg donation cycles, patients having no embryo to transfer or hydrosalpinx were excluded.

The primary outcome was cumulative LBR (CLBR) per started FET cycle and per patient.

Secondary outcomes included EF recurrence rate, cycle cancellation rate, pregnancy rate (PR) and pregnancy loss rate.

Between-group differences were ascertained with Chi-Square or Student's t-test, as appropriate.



### RESULTS

**Table 1.** Population characteristics, first FET cycle (n=302)

	Patients with FAE for EF (n=83)	Controls (n=219)	<i>p</i> value	Cases of EF (n=83)Controls (n=219)p value
Ade	34 7	34.4	0.64	Mean number of FET cycles $2.16 \pm 1.5$ $2.01 \pm 1.3$ $0.40$
Day 3 AFC	20.5	23.7	0.06	Mean total number of embryo transferred per patient $2.49 \pm 1.8$ $2.43 \pm 1.5$ $0.79$
Day 3 AMH (ng/ml)	3.90	4.44	0.39	Recurrence of endometrial fluid per FET cycle, n(%)   33/177 (18.6)   5/441 (1.1)   < 0.00
Cause of FAE, n(%) - Endometrial fluid - OHSS - Elevated PG	83 (100) 0 (0) 0 (0)	0 (0) 101 (46.1) 82 (37 4)	_	Cancellation % 35/177 (19.8) 36/441 (8.2) < 0.00   - per FET 35/83 (42.2) 36/219 (16.4) < 0.00
- Uterine cause - Others	0 (0) 0 (0)	20 (9.1) 16 (7.3)		Biochemical pregnancy, n(%) - per FET 61/177 (34.5) 149/441 (33.8) 0.87 per patient 61/82 (72.5) 140/010 (68) 0.26
Number of frozen embryo - Day 3 embryos	3.95 2.7	3.88 2.05	0.84 0.07	Clinical pregnancy per FET cycle, n(%) 49/177 (27.7) 127/441 (28.8) 0.30
- Blastocysts Number of remaining embryos	1.14 1.11	1.48 0.85	0.25 0.31	Live birth, n(%)
≥ 1 Blastocyst, n(%)	28 (33.7)	87 (39.7)	0.36	- per FET cycle 33/177 (18.6) 103/441 (23.4) 0.20 - per patient 33/83 (39.8) 103/219 (47) 0.26
AFC: antral follicle count at Da	v 3: AMH: anti Müllerian horm	one: PG. proaeste	erone	Number of necessary FET to have a LB 5.4 4.3 0.20

A total of 83 patients with FAE cycles for EF and 219 controls were included. Population characteristics were comparable between the two groups. In controls, the indications for FAE included OHSS (46%), elevated progesterone (37%), uterine causes (9.1%) and other (7.3%).

The EF rate in 3 subsequent FET cycles was significantly higher in the study group compared to the control group: 15.7% vs. 0.5% (p<0.001); 22.9% vs. 0% (p<0.001); 17.3% vs. 1.8% (p=0.02).

Cancellation rates in subsequent FET cycles were significantly higher in the study group compared to the control group: 18.1% vs. 4.1% (p<0.001), 22.9% vs. 8.5% (p=0.02).

**Table 2.** Freeze-all cycle cumulative outcomes (n=302)

The PR, pregnancy loss rate and LBR were comparable between the two groups. However, in patients with FAE for EF presenting with at least one EF recurrence during subsequent FETs, cumulative PR per FET cycle was 16.4% and CLBR per FET cycle was 5.4%.

Despite higher rates of EF recurrence and cycle cancellation, patients with FAE for EF ultimately have comparable pregnancy and LBR to those having had a FAE for other indications. Nonetheless, patients presenting with at least one EF recurrence during subsequent FETs seem to have lower PR and LBR.





### CONCLUSIONS



